FORTIS-AKADEMIE

Term Paper within the Chemistry Basic Course

Fascination of Sweetness: Chemical Analysis of Sugar Substitutes and Sweeteners

---

Author: Paul Bodach

Supervisor: Herr Höfler

Submission Date: 09.12.2025

Acknowledgments: Special thanks to my former chemistry teacher, Marcus Gehlert, for academic counsel and support during the conceptualization of this paper.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

In the contemporary consumer goods market, non-nutritive and nutritive sugar adjuncts have become ubiquitous, embedded in a multitude of products ranging from carbonated beverages and complex dairy confections to routine oral hygiene formulas such as toothpaste. Within industrial food technology, these additives are primarily utilized to formulate energy-reduced or sugar-free commodities. Beyond mere caloric management, these substances carry profound physiological and clinical significance for specialized demographic groups: individuals diagnosed with diabetes mellitus rely extensively on compounds like aspartame and alternative sweeteners as vital substitutes for traditional sucrose, given that these molecules do not trigger postprandial glucose surges or mandate insulin-dependent metabolic pathways.

However, despite the manifest advantages regarding metabolic homeostasis and preventative dietary control, an unrestricted or unmonitored intake of high-intensity sweeteners—such as aspartame, cyclamate, sucralose, or saccharin—warrants strict scientific oversight. The baseline threshold for physiological safety is codified via the Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) metric. For instance, the ADI value for aspartame, meticulously established as early as 1984 by the European Scientific Committee on Food, is benchmarked at 40 milligrams per kilogram of body weight per day.

The core objective of this academic paper is to systematically delineate and evaluate the chemical, structural, and physiological dichotomies of sugar alternatives. To achieve this, the work dissects their fundamental molecular configurations, examines their specific toxicological pathways within the human organism, and contrasts key industrial representatives. Specifically, this paper juxtaposes the high-intensity sweeteners aspartame and sucralose against the bulk sugar substitutes (polyols) xylitol and erythritol. To forge a definitive link between theoretical molecular geometry and empirical reactivity, a laboratory verification via Fehling's test is executed and structurally evaluated.

2. Fundamentals and Theoretical Principles

2.1 High-Intensity Sweeteners

High-intensity sweeteners encompass a chemically heterogeneous group of synthetic or naturally derived compounds that exhibit a sweetening index ranging from 30 to approximately 3,000 times that of native sucrose. Consequently, their contribution to the metabolic energy pool of the organism is negligible or virtually non-existent. Furthermore, these substances exhibit strict non-cariogenic profiles as they cannot be fermented into organic acids by oral microflora. Their intense organoleptic properties stem from their high-affinity binding to human sweet taste receptors.

Currently, 11 distinct high-intensity sweeteners are officially authorized for food application within the European Union, each bound to a specific, legally mandated ADI limit.

Table 1: Synthetic Sweeteners Authorized in the EU and Associated ADI Values

E-Number	Sweetening Compound	ADI Threshold (mg/kg body weight / day)
E 950	Acesulfame Potassium (Acesulfame K)	9
E 951	Aspartame	40
E 952	Cyclamate	7
E 955	Sucralose	15
E 960	Steviol Glycosides	4

Source: Compiled and adapted from Stadler, Silke (2024): Süßstoff. URL: https://www.netdoktor.de/ernaehrung/zusatzstoffe/suessstoff/

2.1.1 Aspartame

According to epidemiological evaluations by the Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR), aspartame ranks among the most widely deployed synthetic sweeteners globally. Structurally, aspartame is a dipeptide methyl ester, precisely defined as L-aspartyl-L-phenylalanine methyl ester. Presenting as a colorless, crystalline powder, this compound was discovered serendipitously in 1965 by the chemist James M. Schlatter during an investigation targeting anti-ulcer pharmaceuticals at G.D. Searle & Company.[1]

Relative to sucrose, aspartame exhibits a sweetening potency factor of approximately 200. Architecturally, however, the molecule suffers from compromised thermal and chemical stability. It is poorly soluble in cold aqueous systems, and under elevated thermal stress or prolonged storage in fluid environments, the central ester link undergoes spontaneous hydrolysis. This cleavage yields L-aspartic acid, L-phenylalanine, and trace amounts of methanol, culminating in a complete loss of organoleptic sweetness. Consequently, industrial formulations frequently pair aspartame with sterically or thermally more robust compounds (such as acesulfame K or cyclamate) to maximize shelf-life stability and exploit taste-enhancing synergies.[2]

[1] cf.: Rewe (Hrsg.) (o.A.): https://www.rewe.de/ernaehrung/wissen/aspartam/ (Letzter Zugriff: 16.09.2025)

[2] cf.: Aspartam.at (Hrsg.) (o.A.): https://www.aspartam.at/geschichte/ (Letzter Zugriff: 08.12.2025); Chapon/Kerlo (2025): https://yuka.io/de/aspartam-untersuchung/ (Letzter Zugriff: 20.11.2025)

2.1.2 Sucralose

Sucralose represents an ultra-potent sweetener extensively utilized in beverages to generate organoleptically optimized, zero-calorie matrices. Its empirical formula is defined as $C_{12}H_{19}Cl_3O_8$. Formally approved by the European Union in 2004, sucralose belongs to the modern echelon of food additives. In stark contrast to aspartame, sucralose exhibits exceptional thermodynamic and chemical resilience, resisting severe pH swings and extreme heat processing. It possesses an approximate sweetening factor of 600 relative to sucrose.

Structurally, the molecule is synthesized via the selective halogenation of sucrose, replacing three specific hydroxyl groups with chlorine atoms (1,6-dichloro-1,6-dideoxy-β-D-fructofuranosyl-4-chloro-4-deoxy-α-D-galactopyranoside). Discovered in 1976 by researchers at Queen Elizabeth College in collaboration with the British sugar refiner Tate & Lyle, PLC, its commercial rollout began in Canada (1991), followed by the EU (2004) and Germany (2005).[3]

2.2 Sugar Substitutes (Polyols)

Sugar substitutes, chemically classified as sugar alcohols or alditols, are polyhydroxy compounds (polyols) that deliver physical bulk, mass, and texture identical to sucrose on a 1:1 ratio, while exerting a significantly reduced caloric and glycemic impact. Because their intestinal absorption is incomplete and partially insulin-independent, they provide approximately 2.4 kcal/g (compared to 4.0 kcal/g for sucrose). They are widely integrated into chewing gums, dietetic matrices, and baked goods; however, due to their osmotic properties, excessive doses can lead to gastrointestinal distress. The European Union currently recognizes 8 authorized polyols: sorbitol, mannitol, isomalt, maltitol, lactitol, xylitol, erythritol, and polyglycitol syrup.[4]

2.2.1 Xylitol

Xylitol is a prominent five-carbon sugar alcohol (pentanepentol) frequently incorporated into high-tier confections, non-cariogenic chewing gums, and specialized dentifrices. Popularly referred to as "birch sugar," it is industrially produced via the catalytic hydrogenation of xylose structures isolated from hemicellulose-rich plant sources. Dissolving xylitol in an aqueous medium triggers a highly endothermic enthalpy of solution, precipitating a distinct cooling sensation within the oral cavity. Crucially, xylitol serves as an active anti-caries agent: it actively disrupts the intracellular metabolic cascades of *Streptococcus mutans*, inducing a futile cycle of phosphorylation that neutralizes the microflora's ability to proliferate.[5]

Xylitol displays superb solubility parameters and remains structurally unreactive when exposed to ambient atmospheric oxygen, light stress, or thermal processing. Historically isolated by Professor

Emil Fischer in Germany and M. G. Bertrand in France around 1891, it received a definitive safety clearance in 1983 via a joint WHO/FAO expert assembly.[6]

---

[3] cf.: Meyer (o.A.): Sucralose: Unsere Produktinformation. URL: https://jj-lifescience.de/produkte/sucralose; Foodsetter (Hrsg.) (o.A.): https://foodsetter.de/foodlove/food-facts/bewusst-suessen/ueber-sucralose (Zugriffe: Nov. 2025)

[4] cf.: Verbraucherzentrale (Hrsg.) (2025): https://www.verbraucherzentrale.de/wissen/lebensmittel/kennzeichnung-und-inhaltsstoffe/suessungsmittel-was-sind-suessstoffe-und-zuckeraustauschstoffe-81624

[5] cf.: Brinkmeyer (2025): Bachelorarbeit, Universität Potsdam. URL: https://publishup.uni-potsdam.de/opus4-ubp/frontdoor/deliver/index/docId/67964/file/brinkmeyer_bachelor.pdf

[6] cf.: Jachmann (2025): https://krauterladen-m8a.com/blogs/blog/geschichte-von-birkenzucker-xylit (Letzter Zugriff: 08.11.2025)

2.2.2 Erythritol

Erythritol represents a four-carbon alditol (tetratetrol) synthesized primarily via the industrial fermentation of glucose matrices utilizing specialized osmophilic yeasts or fungi. Unlike xylitol, the toxicological profile of erythritol has come under heightened regulatory scrutiny. Recent reports and multi-centric epidemiological evaluations released by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) imply that chronic, highly elevated systemic exposure to erythritol may correlate with altered intravascular clotting dynamics and cardiovascular events. Conversely, standard, moderate dietary consumption continues to be validated as safe, causing minimal gastrointestinal distress due to its unique metabolic pathway.

First identified in 1848 by the Scottish chemist John Stenhouse, erythritol achieved regulatory clearance within the European food matrix in 2006. A key physiological advantage over sister polyols lies in its absorption kinetics: approximately 90% is rapidly absorbed via the small intestine and excreted unchanged via renal clearance, allowing elevated consumption thresholds (up to 80g per day) before triggering significant osmotic diarrhea.[7]

3. Key Representatives and Economic Significance

3.1 High-Intensity Sweeteners

The industrial market for high-intensity sweeteners is dominated by aspartame, sucralose, acesulfame K, and saccharin. Owing to their colossal sweetening indexes combined with exceptionally low commodity pricing, these molecules are ubiquitous within global food supply chains. Hitzestabile compounds like sucralose find preferential allocation in thermally processed beverages, syrups, and heat-sterilized preserves, maintaining structural integrity across extensive pasteurization cycles. Conversely, aspartame is primarily deployed in cold-fill beverage systems and desktop sweeteners; however, to mask a residual, slightly metallic or bitter trailing taste profile, engineers routinely blend it with acesulfame K.

From an macroeconomic perspective, high-intensity sweeteners wield profound influence: they empower manufacturing entities to engineer highly profitable, low-calorie variations of standard consumer items while navigating global regulatory shifts like sugar taxes, thereby matching evolving consumer demand with optimized margin structures.

3.2 Bulk Sugar Substitutes

In the domain of functional bulk sweeteners, xylitol, sorbitol, isomalt, and erythritol represent the foundational economic pillars. Because they match the physical volume, humectant qualities, and

crystallization characteristics of sucrose, they are vital to the architectural matrix of sugar-free confections, lozenges, and diabetic functional foods. Xylitol commands a premium valuation due to its validated dental health claims and endothermic cooling properties. Erythritol, prior to its recent regulatory reappraisal, experienced explosive market growth within ketogenic and lifestyle formulations due to its virtually zero-calorie profile.

In sum, bulk sugar substitutes afford food scientists the technical capacity to re-engineer products with an uncompromised sensory profile, full mouthfeel, and dense texture, bypassing the cardiometabolic downfalls (such as dental decay and elevated glycemic indices) associated with refined mono- and disaccharides.

---

[7] cf.: Foodsetter (Hrsg.) (o.A.): https://foodsetter.de/foodlove/food-facts/bewusst-suessen/alles-ueber-erythrit (Stand: 2025)

4. Physiological Mechanisms and Health Implications

4.1 Molecular Basis of Sweet Taste Reception

The human perception of sweetness is dictated by stereospecific ligand binding to G-protein coupled heterodimeric receptors, specifically the T1R2 and T1R3 subunits situated on the apical membranes of gustatory cells. Polyols mimic the stereochemical orientation of hexoses, generating a signaling cascade analogous to sucrose. In contrast, high-intensity sweeteners engage these domains via an entirely different, hyper-affine binding mode, where microscopic quantities suffice to trigger intense intracellular secondary messenger cascades. Popular claims suggesting that sweeteners like saccharin permanently block receptor sites until mechanical rinsing occurs are scientifically inaccurate; taste transduction correlates strictly with ligand-receptor residence time, and aqueous rinsing simply terminates the stimulus rather than initiating it.[8]

4.2 Toxicological and Metabolic Assessment

To preserve public health, regulatory frameworks deploy the ADI parameter to establish safe lifecycle intake limits. Extensive, rigorous long-term trials have repeatedly debunked historical claims linking aspartame or sucralose to oncogenesis or systemic cellular toxicity, provided consumption falls within authorized ADI ranges. However, bulk polyols require a distinct assessment due to their macro-dosage and osmotic activity. In 2025, the EFSA radically adjusted the risk profile of erythritol, citing multi-centric epidemiological data indicating that chronic, highly elevated systemic exposure could statistically elevate platelet reactivity and cardiovascular thrombosis risks. Consequently, preventative intake limits have been revised downward toward more conservative thresholds (such as 0.5g per kg of body weight daily).[9]

5. Empirical Section: Qualitative Analysis via Fehling's Test

5.1 Experimental Setup and Reagents

To bridge theoretical structural concepts with real-world biochemical reactivity, a classic Fehling's test was conducted. This assay functions as a diagnostic tool for identifying reducing carbohydrate functional groups—specifically, compounds capable of donating electrons to reduce alkaline copper(II) complexes to copper(I) oxide. The experiment was systematically structured to evaluate and contrast three distinct classes: reducing monosaccharides (glucose, fructose), a non-reducing disaccharide (sucrose, both in its native state and following acid-catalyzed hydrolysis), and a polyol (xylitol).

The overarching goal of this practical segment was to demonstrate empirically that structural modifications—such as the reduction of a carbonyl group to a polyhydroxy chain—completely neutralize chemical reducing capacity, independent of how "sweet" the molecule tastes to human receptors.

---

[8] cf.: Scinexx (Hrsg.) (2006): „Süß-Geschmack“ enträtselt. URL: https://www.scinexx.de/news/biowissen/suess-geschmack-entraetselt/

[9] cf.: European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (2024): Re-evaluation of erythritol (E 968) as a food additive.

Reagents and Solutions Deployed:

- **Fehling's Solution I:** An aqueous solution of copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate, acting as the donor of light-blue hydrated Cu^{2+} ions.
- **Fehling's Solution II:** An alkaline solution of potassium sodium tartrate tetrahydrate. The tartrate ions function as bidentate chelating agents, preventing the premature precipitation of insoluble copper(II) hydroxide in a highly basic milieu.
- Glucose and Fructose (acting as positive reference controls for reducing monosaccharides).
- Sucrose (commercial grade, acting as a representative of non-reducing disaccharides).
- Xylitol (acting as a representative of bulk polyols).
- Dilute Hydrochloric Acid (***HCl***, ~3-5%) and Sodium Hydroxide (***NaOH***) for sample conditioning and neutralization.
- Deionized Water.

Laboratory Infrastructure:

Borosilicate test tubes, volumetric pipettes, digital heating plate (water bath), spatulas, protective eyewear, nitrile gloves, and standard laboratory coats.

5.2 Protocol and Methodology

First, standard 10% (w/v) aqueous solutions of glucose, fructose, sucrose, and xylitol were prepared using deionized water. To investigate the structural locked state of the disaccharide, a dedicated aliquot of the sucrose solution was subjected to acid-catalyzed cleavage: a few milliliters of dilute hydrochloric acid were added, and the solution was heated in a boiling water bath for 5 minutes. Following this hydrolysis phase, the sample was quantitatively neutralized via the dropwise addition of sodium hydroxide to ensure compatibility with the alkaline Fehling's reagent.

Subsequently, 10 ml of each prepared sample solution was transferred into separate test tubes. Freshly prepared Fehling's reagent (equal volumes of Solution I and Solution II blended immediately prior to use) was introduced into each vessel. Upon homogenization, all tubes displayed a uniform, brilliantly deep-blue copper-tartrate coordination complex. The samples were then simultaneously positioned in the water bath and subjected to constant thermal energy.

5.3 Empirical Observations

During the thermal phase, the following distinctive macro-scale color transitions were observed and logged:

- **Glucose-Fructose Matrix:** Within moments of thermal exposure, the deep-blue solution transitioned sharply through a greenish-yellow spectrum, culminating in the rapid deposition of a dense, **brick-red precipitate** at the base of the tube, signifying massive reduction.
- **Hydrolyzed Sucrose Aliquot:** The acid-cleaved sucrose solution exhibited a gradual color shift upon heating, transitioning from blue to a yellow-orange and eventually muted red state. The rate of compact sediment formation was slightly retarded compared to the pure monosaccharide controls but clearly positive.
- **Xylit (Xylitol) Matrix:** The xylitol sample remained absolutely unaltered across the entire heating cycle. The solution retained its pristine, clear, deep-blue appearance without a single trace of turbidity, phase separation, or particulate precipitation.

5.4 Structural Interpretation and Chemical Mechanism

The structural configurations of the respective analytes dictate these divergent chemical outcomes:

Glucose and Fructose: As an aldohexose, glucose readily exists in equilibrium with its open-chain form, exposing a highly reactive, free terminal aldehyde group. This aldehyde function is oxidized by the coordinated Cu^{2+} complexes to a carboxyl group (gluconic acid), while the copper ions undergo a one-electron reduction to yield insoluble copper(I) oxide (Cu_2O):

$$R\text{-}CHO + 2\ Cu^{2+} + 4\ OH^- \rightarrow R\text{-}COOH + Cu_2O \downarrow + 2\ H_2O$$

Fructose, a ketohexose, lacks a terminal aldehyde group. However, under the strongly basic conditions of Fehling's test, it undergoes an enediol-driven isomerization (the **Lobry-de-Bruyn-van-Ekenstein rearrangement**), forming an equilibrium mixture with glucose and mannose. This continuously yields accessible aldehyde structures, rendering the reaction strongly positive.

Hydrolyzed Sucrose: In native sucrose, the constituent monosaccharide units (glucose and fructose) are locked via an α-1,β-2-glykosidic linkage involving both anomeric carbon centers. This architecture blocks ring opening, meaning no free carbonyl functions can materialize; native sucrose is fundamentally non-reducing. The introduction of thermal energy and a mineral acid catalyst cleaves this ether bond, yielding free, unlinked glucose and fructose monomers (invert sugar), which subsequently drive the positive redox reaction.

Xylitol: Xylitol is a polyol whose molecular structure is synthesized by fully reducing the carbonyl function of xylose into a saturated aliphatic polyhydroxy chain. Because it completely lacks an aldehyde or ketone moiety and cannot undergo basic isomerization to yield a carbonyl state, it possesses zero reducing equivalence. It remains chemically inert under Fehling's conditions.

5.5 Scientific Reflection

This laboratory assay successfully demonstrates the structural and chemical divergence separating classical carbohydrates from modern polyols. It empirically disproves the common misconception that organoleptic sweetness correlates with a specific chemical reactivity or functional redox group. While the functional groups of authentic reducing sugars are easily oxidized, polyols like xylitol exhibit exceptional chemical stability due to their fully reduced, saturated state. This structural resilience translates directly into their industrial utility as heat- and acid-stable humectants and bulking agents in food production.

6. Conclusion

In summary, this paper demonstrates that the overarching term "sugar alternatives" encompasses two distinct chemical archetypes whose differentiation is paramount for both food technology and clinical medicine. While high-intensity sweeteners (such as aspartame and sucralose) serve as hyper-potent, non-nutritive ligands targeting human sweet receptors, bulk sugar substitutes (polyols like xylitol and erythritol) function as physical mass equivalents that offer considerable glycemic advantages, albeit with unique osmotic and metabolic profiles that demand careful toxicological monitoring.

The empirical deployment of Fehling's test validated these structural dynamics on an analytical level. The assay visibly confirmed that the gustatory property of sweetness is entirely decoupled from chemical reducing capacity. Glucose and fructose underwent rapid, classic redox transformations, whereas xylitol remained completely inert due to its saturated polyol configuration. The behavior of sucrose neatly showcased this dichotomy: it required chemical cleavage of its glykosidic bond before its constituent monomers could expose the reducing groups necessary to drive the reaction. Thus, the experiment clearly shows why sweet-tasting compounds cannot be uniformly classified under a single chemical reactivity profile.

From a public health and regulatory perspective, the structural differences remain equally critical. Long-term studies have thoroughly validated the safety profiles of most classic sweeteners within defined ADI boundaries. Conversely, the shifting scientific consensus surrounding erythritol—exemplified by the EFSA's recent risk re-evaluation—highlights that macro-dosed bulk substitutes require continuous epidemiological monitoring. Ultimately, both high-intensity sweeteners and polyols represent indispensable tools for modern nutrition, yet their physical, chemical, and physiological architectures remain distinct, demanding an individualized, scientifically rigorous approach to their formulation and consumption.

7. Glossary

Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI): A toxicological index reflecting the maximum mass of a food additive (expressed in mg per kg of body weight) that can be ingested daily across a human lifespan without inducing measurable systemic health risks.

Aldoses: Polyhydroxy aldehydes; monosaccharides possessing a terminal aldehyde moiety (-CHO) in their open-chain conformation (e.g., glucose).

Ketoses: Polyhydroxy ketones; monosaccharides containing an internal carbonyl function (=O) within their carbon backbone (e.g., fructose).

Lobry-de-Bruyn-van-Ekenstein Rearrangement: A base-catalyzed, tautomeric isomerization in carbohydrate chemistry wherein aldoses and ketoses interconvert via a transient, conjugated enediol intermediate structure, explaining the positive reducing response of ketohexoses.

Metabolic: Pertaining to the sum of biochemical transformations and pathways occurring within a living organism.

Polyol (Sugar Alcohol): A hydrogenated carbohydrate derivative wherein all carbonyl functions have been reduced to aliphatic hydroxyl domains (-OH). They provide sweetness and physical bulk while displaying modified metabolic behavior.

Reducing Sugar: Any carbohydrate that either possesses a free, unlinked aldehyde group or can tautomerize to expose a carbonyl function in an alkaline solution, thereby acting as a reducing agent in redox assays like Fehling's or Benedict's test.

8. Index of Figures

Figure 4 (Molecular Structure of Erythritol): Source: Wikimedia Commons, Erythritol_structure.svg (Su-no-G, Public Domain). URL: https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Erythrit

Figures 5, 6, 7 (Experimental Laboratory Documentation): Photographic captures and documentation executed by the author within the institutional laboratory environment.

9. Bibliography

Academic Textbooks:

• Horn, Sebastian (2019): *Zuckerersatzstoffe. Entwicklung einer Unterrichtskonzeption für den Chemieunterricht.* Norderstedt: GRIN Verlag.

• Singh, Vikas / Bhaskar, D.J. / Agali R., Chandan: *Sugar Substitutes and Oral Health: Are they Safe?.* Saarbrücken: LAP Lambert Academic Publishing.

• Voet, Donald / Voet, Judith G. (2010): *Biochemistry.* 4. Auflage. John Wiley & Sons.

• O'Donnel, Kay / Kearsley, Malcolm (2012): *Sweeteners and Sugar Alternatives in Food Technology.* 2. Auflage. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Scientific Reports and Online Assets (German Original Sources Preserved):

• Barghorn, Leonie (2025): *Zuckeraustauschstoffe und Süßstoffe: Unterschiede und wissenswerte Fakten.* URL: https://utopia.de/ratgeber/zuckeraustauschstoffe-und-suessstoffe-unterschiede-und-wissenswerte-fakten_159332/ (Letzter Zugriff: 16.09.2025)

• Brinkmeyer, Emily (2025): *Süße Alternativen: Eine kritische Analyse ausgewählter Nachweise von Zuckeraustausch- und Süßstoffen für den forschend naturwissenschaftlichen Unterricht.* Bachelorarbeit, Universität Potsdam. URL: https://publishup.uni-potsdam.de/opus4-ubp/frontdoor/deliver/index/docId/67964 (Letzter Zugriff: 19.09.2025)

• Bundesinstitut für Risikobewertung (BfR) (2020): *Bewertung von Süßstoffen.* URL: https://www.bfr.bund.de/cm/343/bewertung_von_suessstoffen.pdf (Letzter Zugriff: 05.11.2025)

• Chapon, Julie / Kerlo, Zoé (2025): *Aspartam: Wenn Lobbyismus die Wissenschaft bestimmt.* URL: https://yuka.io/de/aspartam-untersuchung/ (Letzter Zugriff: 20.10.2025)

• European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (2024): *Sweeteners Topic Profile.* URL: https://www.efsa.europa.eu/de/topics/topic/sweeteners (Letzter Zugriff: 16.09.2025)

• European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (2024): *Plain Language Summary: Re-evaluation of erythritol (E 968) as a food additive.* URL: https://www.efsa.europa.eu/de/plain-language-summary/re-evaluation-erythritol-e968-food-additive (Letzter Zugriff: 07.11.2025)

• Foodsetter GmbH (Hrsg.) (2025): *Über Sucralose & Alles über Erythrit.* URL: https://foodsetter.de/foodlove/food-facts/bewusst-suessen/ (Letzter Zugriff: 03.11.2025)

• forum.ernährung heute (2024): *Saccharin, Cyclamat oder Xylit: Süßungsmittel unter der Lupe.* URL: https://www.forum-ernaehrung.at/lebensstil/artikel/saccharin-cyclamat-oder-xylit-suessungsmittel-unter-der-lupe (Letzter Zugriff: 08.09.2025)

• Jachmann, Natalie (2025): *Geschichte des Birkenzuckers.* URL: https://krauterladen-m8a.com/blogs/blog/geschichte-von-birkenzucker-xylit (Letzter Zugriff: 05.11.2025)

• Lebensmittelklarheit (2022): *Süße Zusatzstoffe: Zuckeraustauschstoffe und Süßstoffen.* URL: https://www.lebensmittelklarheit.de/informationen/suesse-zusatzstoffe-zuckeraustauschstoffe-und-suessstoffe (Letzter Zugriff: 16.09.2025)

• Meier, Susanne (2025): *Aspartam – Süßstoff mit Nebenwirkungen.* URL: https://www.zentrum-der-gesundheit.de/ernaehrung/lebensmittel/ungesunde-suessungsmittel/aspartam-suessstoff (Letzter Zugriff: 07.11.2025)

• netDoktor / Stadler, Silke (2024): *Süßstoff.* URL: https://www.netdoktor.de/ernaehrung/zusatzstoffe/suessstoff/ (Letzter Zugriff: 19.09.2025)

• REWE Markt GmbH (o.A.): *Aspartam - Infos & Wissenswertes.* URL: https://www.rewe.de/ernaehrung/wissen/aspartam/ (Letzter Zugriff: 16.09.2025)

• Scinexx (2006): *„Süß-Geschmack“ enträtselt.* URL: https://www.scinexx.de/news/biowissen/suess-geschmack-entraetselt/ (Letzter Zugriff: 07.11.2025)

• Studiengemeinschaft Darmstadt (SGD) (o.A.): *Zucker, Zuckeraustauschstoffe & Süßstoffe & Co.* URL: https://www.sgd.de/magazin/leben-lernen/ratgeber/ernaehrung/zucker-zuckeraustauschstoffe-suessstoffe-co-was-ist-sinnvoll.html (Letzter Zugriff: 08.09.2025)

• Verbraucherzentrale Hamburg (2025): *Süßungsmittel - Süße ohne Reue?.* URL: https://www.vzhh.de/themen/lebensmittel-ernaehrung/zusatzstoffe-e-nummern/suessungsmittel-suesse-ohne-reue (Letzter Zugriff: 02.11.2025)

• Wacker Chemie / Chemie.de (2025): *Lexikon: Lobry-de-Bruyn-Alberda-van-Ekenstein-Umlagerung & Polyole.* URL: https://www.chemie.de/lexikon/ (Letzter Zugriff: 30.11.2025)